

Wieler Solenoids from Flat Manifolds Part 2: The Unstable Groupoid

Levi Lorenzo
CU-Boulder

May 14, 2022

Acknowledgments

- This work results from a Summer 2021 REU along with: Rachel Chaiser, Maeve Coates Welsh, José Cortes, Robin Deeley, Annikah Farner, Jamal Giornozi, Robi Huq, Maggie Reardon, Andrew Stocker
- This work supported by NSF grant 2000057



Flat Manifold Inverse Limits

Let Y be a flat d -manifold and g a locally expansive n -fold cover. Let $(X, \varphi) = \varprojlim(Y, g)$, i.e.

$$X = \{(y_0, y_1, \dots) \mid y_i \in Y, g(y_{i+1}) = y_i\}$$

$$\varphi((y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots)) = (g(y_0), g(y_1), g(y_2), \dots) = (g(y_0), y_0, y_1, \dots)$$

$$\varphi^{-1}(y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots) = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$$

Unstable Equivalence

Definition

Given $x, y \in X$, we say $x \sim_u y$ are **unstably equivalent** if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\varphi^{-n}(x), \varphi^{-n}(y)) = 0$$

Unstable Equivalence

Definition

Given $x, y \in X$, we say $x \sim_u y$ are **unstably equivalent** if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\varphi^{-n}(x), \varphi^{-n}(y)) = 0$$

- Q: Given $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots), y = (y_0, y_1, \dots) \in X = \varprojlim(Y, g)$, when is $x \sim_u y$?

Unstable Equivalence

Definition

Given $x, y \in X$, we say $x \sim_u y$ are **unstably equivalent** if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\varphi^{-n}(x), \varphi^{-n}(y)) = 0$

- Q: Given $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots), y = (y_0, y_1, \dots) \in X = \varprojlim(Y, g)$, when is $x \sim_u y$?
- A: If and only if, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an N s.t. for all $n \geq N, d(x_n, y_n) < \varepsilon$

Unstable Equivalence

Definition

Given $x, y \in X$, we say $x \sim_u y$ are **unstably equivalent** if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\varphi^{-n}(x), \varphi^{-n}(y)) = 0$

- Q: Given $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots), y = (y_0, y_1, \dots) \in X = \varprojlim(Y, g)$, when is $x \sim_u y$?
- A: If and only if, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an N s.t. for all $n \geq N, d(x_n, y_n) < \varepsilon$
- The “only if” direction is the result of the local expansiveness of g .

Unstable Equivalence

Definition

Given $x, y \in X$, we say $x \sim_u y$ are **unstably equivalent** if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\varphi^{-n}(x), \varphi^{-n}(y)) = 0$

- Q: Given $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots), y = (y_0, y_1, \dots) \in X = \varprojlim(Y, g)$, when is $x \sim_u y$?
- A: If and only if, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an N s.t. for all $n \geq N, d(x_n, y_n) < \varepsilon$
- The “only if” direction is the result of the local expansiveness of g .
- Intuitively, we must eventually “choose the same pre-image.”

Unstable Groupoid

- We restrict to a transversal to obtain an étale groupoid \mathcal{G}^u .

Unstable Groupoid

- We restrict to a transversal to obtain an étale groupoid \mathcal{G}^u .
- The local unstable sets are homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^d

Unstable Groupoid

- We restrict to a transversal to obtain an étale groupoid \mathcal{G}^u .
- The local unstable sets are homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^d
- The local stable sets are totally disconnected.

Unstable Groupoid

- We restrict to a transversal to obtain an étale groupoid \mathcal{G}^u .
- The local unstable sets are homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^d
- The local stable sets are totally disconnected.
- Restricting \mathcal{G}^u to a clopen local stable set yields a Morita Equivalent Groupoid \mathcal{G}_u with totally disconnected equivalence classes:

Unstable Groupoid

- We restrict to a transversal to obtain an étale groupoid \mathcal{G}^u .
- The local unstable sets are homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^d
- The local stable sets are totally disconnected.
- Restricting \mathcal{G}^u to a clopen local stable set yields a Morita Equivalent Groupoid \mathcal{G}_u with totally disconnected equivalence classes:

Definition (Unstable Groupoid)

$$\mathcal{G}_u = \{(x, y) | x \sim_u y, x, y \in X^s((z, z, z, \dots), \varepsilon)\}$$

where z is the fixed point of g .

2-Solenoid Example

- Let $Y = \mathbf{S}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, $g : z \mapsto z^2$ and $(X, \varphi) = \varprojlim(Y, g)$.

2-Solenoid Example

- Let $Y = \mathbf{S}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, $g : z \mapsto z^2$ and $(X, \varphi) = \varprojlim(Y, g)$.
- Note, (X, φ) has fixed point $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$

2-Solenoid Example

- Let $Y = \mathbf{S}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, $g : z \mapsto z^2$ and $(X, \varphi) = \varprojlim(Y, g)$.
- Note, (X, φ) has fixed point $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$
- Then,

$$\mathcal{G}_u(X, \varphi) = \{(x, y) \mid x \sim_u y, x, y \in X^s((1, 1, 1, \dots), \varepsilon)\}$$

where

$$X^s((1, 1, 1, \dots), \varepsilon) = \{(y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots) \mid y_0 = 1\}.$$

2-Solenoid Example

- Let $Y = \mathbf{S}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, $g : z \mapsto z^2$ and $(X, \varphi) = \varprojlim(Y, g)$.
- Note, (X, φ) has fixed point $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$
- Then,

$$\mathcal{G}_u(X, \varphi) = \{(x, y) \mid x \sim_u y, x, y \in X^s((1, 1, 1, \dots), \varepsilon)\}$$

where

$$X^s((1, 1, 1, \dots), \varepsilon) = \{(y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots) \mid y_0 = 1\}.$$

- Given $(x, y) \in X^s((1, 1, \dots), \varepsilon) \times X^s((1, 1, 1, \dots), \varepsilon)$, $x \sim_u y$ if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an N such that for all $n \geq N$, $d(x_n, y_n) < \varepsilon$.

2-Solenoid Example

- Let $Y = \mathbf{S}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, $g : z \mapsto z^2$ and $(X, \varphi) = \varprojlim(Y, g)$.
- Note, (X, φ) has fixed point $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$
- Then,

$$\mathcal{G}_u(X, \varphi) = \{(x, y) \mid x \sim_u y, x, y \in X^s((1, 1, 1, \dots), \varepsilon)\}$$

where

$$X^s((1, 1, 1, \dots), \varepsilon) = \{(y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots) \mid y_0 = 1\}.$$

- Given $(x, y) \in X^s((1, 1, \dots), \varepsilon) \times X^s((1, 1, 1, \dots), \varepsilon)$, $x \sim_u y$ if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an N such that for all $n \geq N$, $d(x_n, y_n) < \varepsilon$.

2-Solenoid Example

- We can also view $Y = \mathbf{S}^1 \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, $g : z \mapsto 2z$

2-Solenoid Example

- We can also view $Y = \mathbf{S}^1 \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, $g : z \mapsto 2z$
- Recall the full 2-shift $(\Sigma_{\{0,1\}}, \sigma)$ of two-sided binary sequences with the map: $\sigma((x_n))_k = x_{k+1}$

2-Solenoid Example

- We can also view $Y = \mathbf{S}^1 \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, $g : z \mapsto 2z$
- Recall the full 2-shift $(\Sigma_{\{0,1\}}, \sigma)$ of two-sided binary sequences with the map: $\sigma((x_n))_k = x_{k+1}$
- We can characterize \mathcal{G}_u using the Markov partition,

$$\pi : (\Sigma_{\{0,1\}}, \sigma) \rightarrow \varprojlim (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, z \mapsto 2z)$$

$$\pi(\dots x_{-2}x_{-1}.x_0x_1x_2\dots) = (.x_{-1}x_{-2}\dots, .x_0x_{-1}x_{-2}\dots, .x_1x_0x_{-1}x_{-2}\dots)$$

- If $x = (0, .z_0, .z_1z_0, .z_2z_1z_0, \dots)$ and $y = (0, .w_0, .w_1w_0, .w_2w_1w_0, \dots)$ represented in binary then $x \sim_u y$ if and only either:
 - 1 For some K , $z_k = w_k$ for all $k \geq K$.
 - 2 For some K , $z_k(w_k) = 0$ for all $k \geq K$ and $w_k(z_k) = 1$, for all $k \geq K$.

Odometer - Orbit Equivalence

- Let $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\Theta := \{\text{add 1 with carry-over}\}$

Odometer - Orbit Equivalence

- Let $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\Theta := \{\text{add 1 with carry-over}\}$
- e.g.

$$\Theta(0, 0, 0, \dots) = (1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$\Theta(1, 0, 0, \dots) = (0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$\Theta(1, 1, 1, 1, \dots) = (0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

Odometer - Orbit Equivalence

- Let $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\Theta := \{\text{add 1 with carry-over}\}$
- e.g.

$$\Theta(0, 0, 0, \dots) = (1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$\Theta(1, 0, 0, \dots) = (0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$\Theta(1, 1, 1, 1, \dots) = (0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

Definition (Orbit Equivalence)

Given a dynamical system (X, φ) and $x, y \in X$, we say $x \sim_{\text{orbit}} y$ if there exists an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\varphi^n(x) = y$.

Odometer - Orbit Equivalence

- Let $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\Theta := \{\text{add 1 with carry-over}\}$
- e.g.

$$\Theta(0, 0, 0, \dots) = (1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$\Theta(1, 0, 0, \dots) = (0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$\Theta(1, 1, 1, 1, \dots) = (0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

Definition (Orbit Equivalence)

Given a dynamical system (X, φ) and $x, y \in X$, we say $x \sim_{\text{orbit}} y$ if there exists and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\varphi^n(x) = y$.

- e.g.

$$(1, 1, 1, \dots) \sim_{\text{orbit}} (0, 0, 0, \dots) \sim_{\text{orbit}} (1, 0, 0, 0, \dots) \sim_{\text{orbit}} (0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

Odometer-Orbit Equivalence

- Given $x = (w_n), y = (z_n) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, when is $x \sim_{orbit} y$?

Odometer-Orbit Equivalence

- Given $x = (w_n), y = (z_n) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, when is $x \sim_{orbit} y$?
- A: if and only either:
 - ① For some $K, z_k = w_k$ for all $k \geq K$.
 - ② For some $K, z_k(w_k) = 0$ for all $k \geq K$ and $w_k(z_k) = 1$, for all $k \geq K$.

Odometer-Orbit Equivalence

- Given $x = (w_n), y = (z_n) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, when is $x \sim_{orbit} y$?
- A: if and only either:
 - ① For some $K, z_k = w_k$ for all $k \geq K$.
 - ② For some $K, z_k(w_k) = 0$ for all $k \geq K$ and $w_k(z_k) = 1$, for all $k \geq K$.
- Define

$$\mathcal{G}_{orbit} = \{(x, y) | x, y \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, x \sim_{orbit} y\}$$

Odometer-Orbit Equivalence

- Given $x = (w_n), y = (z_n) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, when is $x \sim_{orbit} y$?
- A: if and only either:
 - ① For some $K, z_k = w_k$ for all $k \geq K$.
 - ② For some $K, z_k(w_k) = 0$ for all $k \geq K$ and $w_k(z_k) = 1$, for all $k \geq K$.
- Define

$$\mathcal{G}_{orbit} = \{(x, y) | x, y \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, x \sim_{orbit} y\}$$

- In fact $\mathcal{G}_{orbit} \cong \mathcal{G}_u$ via

$$((z_n), (w_n)) \mapsto$$

$$((1, \pi(.z_0 0 0 \dots), \pi(.z_1 z_0 \dots), \dots), (1, \pi(.w_0 0 0 \dots), \pi(.w_1 w_0 0 0 \dots), \dots))$$

Odometer-Orbit Equivalence

- Given $x = (w_n), y = (z_n) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, when is $x \sim_{orbit} y$?
- A: if and only either:
 - ① For some $K, z_k = w_k$ for all $k \geq K$.
 - ② For some $K, z_k(w_k) = 0$ for all $k \geq K$ and $w_k(z_k) = 1$, for all $k \geq K$.

- Define

$$\mathcal{G}_{orbit} = \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, x \sim_{orbit} y\}$$

- In fact $\mathcal{G}_{orbit} \cong \mathcal{G}_u$ via

$$((z_n), (w_n)) \mapsto$$

$$((1, \pi(.z_0 0 0 \dots), \pi(.z_1 z_0 \dots), \dots), (1, \pi(.w_0 0 0 \dots), \pi(.w_1 w_0 0 0 \dots), \dots))$$

Generalized Odometers

- Let Y be a flat d -manifold, g a locally expansive n -fold cover and $G = \pi_1(Y)$.

Generalized Odometers

- Let Y be a flat d -manifold, g a locally expansive n -fold cover and $G = \pi_1(Y)$.
- Define $G_j = g_*^j(G) \subseteq G$, which gives

$$G = G_0 \xrightarrow{g_*} G_1 \xrightarrow{g_*} G_2 \dots$$

Generalized Odometers

- Let Y be a flat d -manifold, g a locally expansive n -fold cover and $G = \pi_1(Y)$.
- Define $G_j = g_*^j(G) \subseteq G$, which gives

$$G = G_0 \xrightarrow{g_*} G_1 \xrightarrow{g_*} G_2 \dots$$

- And:

$$\Omega = \varprojlim (G/G_j, i_j^{j+1}) = G/G_0 \xleftarrow{i_0^1} G/G_1 \xleftarrow{i_1^2} G/G_2 \xleftarrow{\dots}$$

with $i_j^{j+1} : G_j \rightarrow G_{j+1}$ given by $i_j^{j+1}(gG_j) = gG_{j+1}$.

Generalized Odometers

- Let Y be a flat d -manifold, g a locally expansive n -fold cover and $G = \pi_1(Y)$.
- Define $G_j = g_*^j(G) \subseteq G$, which gives

$$G = G_0 \xrightarrow{g_*} G_1 \xrightarrow{g_*} G_2 \dots$$

- And:

$$\Omega = \varprojlim (G/G_j, i_j^{j+1}) = G/G_0 \xleftarrow{i_0^1} G/G_1 \xleftarrow{i_1^2} G/G_2 \xleftarrow{\dots}$$

with $i_j^{j+1} : G_j \rightarrow G_{j+1}$ given by $i_j^{j+1}(gG_j) = gG_{j+1}$.

- We obtain $G \curvearrowright \Omega$ via

$$h \cdot (g_0 G_0, g_1 G_1, g_2 G_2, \dots) = (hg_0 G_0, hg_1 G_1, hg_2 G_2, \dots)$$

Generalized Odometers

- Let Y be a flat d -manifold, g a locally expansive n -fold cover and $G = \pi_1(Y)$.
- Define $G_j = g_*^j(G) \subseteq G$, which gives

$$G = G_0 \xrightarrow{g_*} G_1 \xrightarrow{g_*} G_2 \dots$$

- And:

$$\Omega = \varprojlim (G/G_j, i_j^{j+1}) = G/G_0 \xleftarrow{i_0^1} G/G_1 \xleftarrow{i_1^2} G/G_2 \xleftarrow{\dots}$$

with $i_j^{j+1} : G_j \rightarrow G_{j+1}$ given by $i_j^{j+1}(gG_j) = gG_{j+1}$.

- We obtain $G \curvearrowright \Omega$ via

$$h \cdot (g_0 G_0, g_1 G_1, g_2 G_2, \dots) = (hg_0 G_0, hg_1 G_1, hg_2 G_2, \dots)$$

Motivating Example

- If $Y = \mathbf{S}^1$, $G = \mathbb{Z}$, and $g(z) = z^2$, then $g_*(\mathbb{Z}) = 2\mathbb{Z}$, $g_*^j(\mathbb{Z}) = 2^j\mathbb{Z}$, and $G/G_j = \mathbb{Z}/2^j\mathbb{Z}$.

Motivating Example

- If $Y = \mathbf{S}^1$, $G = \mathbb{Z}$, and $g(z) = z^2$, then $g_*(\mathbb{Z}) = 2\mathbb{Z}$, $g_*^j(\mathbb{Z}) = 2^j\mathbb{Z}$, and $G/G_j = \mathbb{Z}/2^j\mathbb{Z}$.
- The associated odometer is:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z} &\curvearrowright (\varprojlim (\mathbb{Z}/2^j\mathbb{Z}, \text{coset inclusion})) \\ &= \mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright (\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \cdots \cong \mathbb{Z}_2).\end{aligned}$$

Example cont

- Representing the cosets in binary, we have:

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1\}, \quad \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} = \{00, 10, 01, 11\},$$

$$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} = \{000, 100, 010, 110, \dots\} \text{etc.},$$

so that

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 = (x_0, x_0x_1, x_0x_1x_2, \dots)$$

for $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and the generator $1 \in \pi_1(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ acts on \mathbb{Z}_2 by

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cdot (x_0 + 2\mathbb{Z}, x_0x_1 + 4\mathbb{Z}, x_0x_1x_2 + 8\mathbb{Z}, \dots) = \\ (1 + x_0 + 2\mathbb{Z}, 1 + x_0x_1 + 4\mathbb{Z}, 1 + x_0x_1x_2 + 8\mathbb{Z}, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

General Case

- A flat manifold Y with a locally expansive n -fold cover g determines two dynamical systems:
 - ① The **Wieler Solenoid** $\varprojlim(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{g})$ with groupoid \mathcal{G}_u
 - ② The **odometer** $\pi_1(\mathbf{Y}) \curvearrowright \varprojlim(\pi_1(\mathbf{Y})/\mathbf{g}_*^j(\pi_1(\mathbf{Y}), \mathbf{i}_j^{j+1}))$ with groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\text{orbit}}$

General Case

- A flat manifold Y with a locally expansive n -fold cover g determines two dynamical systems:
 - ① The **Wieler Solenoid** $\varprojlim(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{g})$ with groupoid \mathcal{G}_u
 - ② The **odometer** $\pi_1(\mathbf{Y}) \curvearrowright \varprojlim(\pi_1(\mathbf{Y})/\mathbf{g}_*^j(\pi_1(\mathbf{Y}), \mathbf{i}_j^{j+1}))$ with groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\text{orbit}}$
- Each solenoid admits a Markov Partition

$$\pi : (\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cong (G/G_1)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma) \rightarrow \varprojlim(Y, g)$$

- Additionally,

$$|\mathbf{g}_*^j(\pi_1(Y))/\mathbf{g}_*^{j+1}(\pi_1(Y))| = n.$$

General Case

- A flat manifold Y with a locally expansive n -fold cover g determines two dynamical systems:
 - ① The **Wieler Solenoid** $\varprojlim(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{g})$ with groupoid \mathcal{G}_u
 - ② The **odometer** $\pi_1(\mathbf{Y}) \curvearrowright \varprojlim(\pi_1(\mathbf{Y})/\mathbf{g}_*^j(\pi_1(\mathbf{Y}), \mathbf{i}_j^{j+1}))$ with groupoid \mathcal{G}_{orbit}
- Each solenoid admits a Markov Partition

$$\pi : (\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cong (G/G_1)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma) \rightarrow \varprojlim(Y, g)$$

- Additionally,

$$|g_*^j(\pi_1(Y))/g_*^{j+1}(\pi_1(Y))| = n.$$

- The Markov Partition facilitates, as described in the 2-solenoid example:

$$\mathcal{G}_u \cong \mathcal{G}_{orbit}$$

General Case

- A flat manifold Y with a locally expansive n -fold cover g determines two dynamical systems:
 - ① The **Wieler Solenoid** $\varprojlim(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{g})$ with groupoid \mathcal{G}_u
 - ② The **odometer** $\pi_1(\mathbf{Y}) \curvearrowright \varprojlim(\pi_1(\mathbf{Y})/\mathbf{g}_*^j(\pi_1(\mathbf{Y}), \mathbf{i}_j^{j+1}))$ with groupoid \mathcal{G}_{orbit}
- Each solenoid admits a Markov Partition

$$\pi : (\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cong (G/G_1)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma) \rightarrow \varprojlim(Y, g)$$

- Additionally,

$$|g_*^j(\pi_1(Y))/g_*^{j+1}(\pi_1(Y))| = n.$$

- The Markov Partition facilitates, as described in the 2-solenoid example:

$$\mathcal{G}_u \cong \mathcal{G}_{orbit}$$

Upshot for Homology

Theorem (Scarparo(special case))

For Y flat, g a locally expansive n -fold cover, and $\pi_1(Y) \curvearrowright \varprojlim \left(\pi_1(Y)/g_*^j(\pi_1(Y)), i_j^{j+1} \right)$ the associated odometer,

$$H_*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit}) \cong \varinjlim (H_*(Y), g!)$$

where $g!$ is the transfer map on homology.

Upshot for Homology

Theorem (Scarparo(special case))

For Y flat, g a locally expansive n -fold cover, and $\pi_1(Y) \curvearrowright \varprojlim \left(\pi_1(Y)/g_*^j(\pi_1(Y)), i_j^{j+1} \right)$ the associated odometer,

$$H_*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit}) \cong \varinjlim (H_*(Y), g!)$$

where $g!$ is the transfer map on homology.

- Then

$$H_*(\mathcal{G}^u) \cong H_*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit}) \cong \varinjlim (H_*(Y), g!).$$

Upshot for Homology

Theorem (Scarparo(special case))

For Y flat, g a locally expansive n -fold cover, and $\pi_1(Y) \curvearrowright \varprojlim \left(\pi_1(Y)/g_*^j(\pi_1(Y)), i_j^{j+1} \right)$ the associated odometer,

$$H_*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit}) \cong \varinjlim (H_*(Y), g!)$$

where $g!$ is the transfer map on homology.

- Then

$$H_*(\mathcal{G}^u) \cong H_*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit}) \cong \varinjlim (H_*(Y), g!).$$

- Since $X = \varprojlim (Y, g)$,

$$H^*(X) \cong \varinjlim (H^*(Y), g^*),$$

where g^* is the induced map on cohomology.

Upshot for Homology

Theorem (Scarparo(special case))

For Y flat, g a locally expansive n -fold cover, and $\pi_1(Y) \curvearrowright \varprojlim \left(\pi_1(Y)/g_*^j(\pi_1(Y)), i_j^{j+1} \right)$ the associated odometer,

$$H_*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit}) \cong \varinjlim (H_*(Y), g!)$$

where $g!$ is the transfer map on homology.

- Then

$$H_*(\mathcal{G}^u) \cong H_*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit}) \cong \varinjlim (H_*(Y), g!).$$

- Since $X = \varprojlim (Y, g)$,

$$H^*(X) \cong \varinjlim (H^*(Y), g^*),$$

where g^* is the induced map on cohomology.

Upshot for Homology

- If Y is orientable and $\dim Y = d$, Poincaré Duality yields:

$$\varinjlim(H_*(Y), g!) \cong \varinjlim(H^{d-*}(Y), g^*),$$

Upshot for Homology

- If Y is orientable and $\dim Y = d$, Poincaré Duality yields:

$$\varinjlim(H_*(Y), g!) \cong \varinjlim(H^{d-*}(Y), g^*),$$

Theorem

For Y an oriented flat d -manifold,

$$H_*(\mathcal{G}^u) \cong H^{d-*}(X)$$

- Note: Doesn't hold when Y is not orientable

Upshot for K -Theory

Theorem (Scarparo, Baum-Connes, Carrión, Green, etc.)

Given Y flat, g a locally expansive d -fold cover, and $\pi_1(Y) \curvearrowright \varprojlim \left(\pi_1(Y)/g_*^j(\pi_1(Y)), i_j^{j+1} \right)$ the associated odometer,

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit})) \cong \varinjlim (K_*(Y), g!)$$

Upshot for K -Theory

Theorem (Scarparo, Baum-Connes, Carrión, Green, etc.)

Given Y flat, g a locally expansive d -fold cover, and $\pi_1(Y) \curvearrowright \varprojlim \left(\pi_1(Y)/g_*^j(\pi_1(Y)), i_j^{j+1} \right)$ the associated odometer,

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit})) \cong \varinjlim (K_*(Y), g!)$$

- Then

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}^u)) \cong K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit})) \cong \varinjlim (K_*(Y), g!)$$

Upshot for K -Theory

Theorem (Scarparo, Baum-Connes, Carrión, Green, etc.)

Given Y flat, g a locally expansive d -fold cover, and $\pi_1(Y) \curvearrowright \varprojlim \left(\pi_1(Y)/g_*^j(\pi_1(Y)), i_j^{j+1} \right)$ the associated odometer,

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit})) \cong \varinjlim (K_*(Y), g!)$$

- Then

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}^u)) \cong K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit})) \cong \varinjlim (K_*(Y), g!)$$

- If Y is spin^c :

$$\varinjlim (K_*(Y), g!) \cong \varinjlim (K^{*+d}(Y), g^*),$$

where g^* is the induced map on K -theory

Upshot for K -Theory

Theorem (Scarparo, Baum-Connes, Carrión, Green, etc.)

Given Y flat, g a locally expansive d -fold cover, and $\pi_1(Y) \curvearrowright \varprojlim \left(\pi_1(Y)/g_*^j(\pi_1(Y)), i_j^{j+1} \right)$ the associated odometer,

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit})) \cong \varinjlim (K_*(Y), g!)$$

- Then

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}^u)) \cong K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{orbit})) \cong \varinjlim (K_*(Y), g!)$$

- If Y is spin^c :

$$\varinjlim (K_*(Y), g!) \cong \varinjlim (K^{*+d}(Y), g^*),$$

where g^* is the induced map on K -theory

- Since $X = \varprojlim (Y, g)$:

$$K^{*+d}(X) \cong \varinjlim (K^{*+d}(Y), g^*).$$

K -theory cont

Theorem

For Y a spin^c flat n -manifold,

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}^u)) \cong K^{*+d}(X)$$

Doesn't hold in general when Y is not spin^c